

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,246	09/21/2001		Vivian Pecus	4940/1J	4940/1J 4339	
33690	7590	06/01/2005		EXAMINER		
DAVID LO 802 KING S		TEIN	MEHRA, INDER P			
RYE BROOK, NY 10573				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2666		

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/960,246	PECUS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Inder P. Mehra	2666					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr te, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 S	September 2001.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.						
	·—						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 is, Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. \$ ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to application dated: 9/21/2001. Claims 1-3 are pending.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Refer to pages 1-5. multiple serial nos. mentioned at pages 1-3 be updated in terms of their status. Further, serial nos. of all fourteen co-pending applications, see line 14 of page 3, be provided along with their statuses.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1 and 3 recite "NOC" in line 1. It is not explained as to its definition and function in specification.

Claim 3 recites "requests for content" in line 5. It should be "the requests for content", because it is preceded by the same limitation in line 3.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,246 Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 6. Claim 3 recites following limitations, which do not have antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim:
 - a. "the number of servers" in line 4 and 6;
 - b. "the number of users" in line 4;
 - c. "the load balancer" in line 6

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hartsell et al** (US Application Publication 2003/0236745), hereinafter, Hartsell, in view of **Voit et al** (US Patent No. 6,829,250).

For claims 1 and 3, Hartsell discloses "a scalable edge node (information management features at the edge of a network (e.g., across single or multiple nodes, refer to paragraph 0012) that receives content from a NOC via a satellite link (an edge network may be wired, wireless, satellite-based, refer to paragraph 0249, and fig. 6) and distributes it via a last mile service provider, the edge node, (linking users, refer to paragraph 0050) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/960,246

Art Unit: 2666

Page 4

- a variable number of media servers 310 (refer to fig. 6) connected to a load balancer 305, the load balancer capable of determining which of the servers connected to it is best able to meet a user's request for content, the number of media servers capable of being changed, while content is being received, to meet changes in demand for data (refer to paragraph 0011 and 0207);
- a shared storage device connected to the media servers (1040a in fig. 1B, and Network endpoint systems may include a wide variety of computing devices, including but not limited to, classic general purpose servers, specialized servers, network appliances, storage area networks or other storage medium;
- a private VLAN, (refer to paragraph 0277), that receives content from the NOC over the satellite link (paragraph 0249), and distributes it to the shared storage device 1040 in fig. 1A or 312 in fig. 6;
- as recited by claim 2, wherein the media servers 310 in fig. 6, the load balancer307 in fig. 6, the shared storage device1040 in fig. 1A or 312 in fig. 6, and the private VLAN, refer to paragraph 0277, are enclosed in a single equipment rack 300 in fig. 6.

Hartsell does not disclose "last mile service provider" and "a private VLAN " explicitly in the following limitation, which is disclosed explicitly by Voit, as follows:

- "last mile service provider", (15 and 21 in fig. 1;
- a private VLAN that receives content from the NOC over the satellite link, and distributes it to the shared storage device, refer to fig. 2 and col. 18 lines 45-62 and col. 26 lines 45-50;

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to use "VLAN ---shared device", as taught by Voit in the network architecture. The capability can be implemented by connecting VLAN at the edge node. The motivation for using this capability being that it provides more cost effective edge node and reduces latency in retrieving data from servers.

Prior Art of Record

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Singal et al (US Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0071496) discloses a method, system, and computer readable medium for delivering media object.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Inder P. Mehra whose telephone number is 571-272-3170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,246

Art Unit: 2666

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Inder P Mehra

Examiner Art Unit 2666

CONSIGNATIONS AND CONTRACT OF THE STREET

Page 6